

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [manstonairport@pins.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:manstonairport@pins.gsi.gov.uk)  
**Subject:** Re RSP application  
**Date:** 14 February 2019 12:33:21  
**Attachments:** [Indices-of-deprivation-ward-level-summaries-for-Kent.pdf](#)  
[Indices-of-Deprivation-headline-findings.pdf](#)

---

Sirs,

Resubmission to include PDF files. Sent from our Assns official email address.

Submission by Newington Residents Assn in support of RSP's application

Newington Ward in Thanet is one of the most deprived in both Thanet and Kent, 7th out the top ten most deprived wards, 3rd most deprived in Thanet and in the top 22% of most deprived wards in Kent. ( PDF attachements 1) and 2)

Indeed Thanet as a District is high on the list of deprived districts nationally.

The only bright spot is the fact that despite being in the Ward and being more or less directly under the flight path over the years and with hard work and dedication the primary school has gone from OFSTED rating of Poor to two successive Outstandings.

There are high levels of unemployment and low health outcomes for many residents. These are exacerbated by the lack of employment opportunities in Thanet and East Kent generally.

Many residents are former Miners employed in the Kent pits until the final pit closed, Betteshanger in 1989.

Many women from the Ward worked on the fields during the season picking potatoes and cauliflowers, with the influx of EU workers this source of income has disappeared so the circle of poverty continues.

I spoke earlier about the success of the Primary school, as a result of this the expectations of the children have been raised only to be dashed on leaving school when they find little or no proper work available.

All the above is just a brief outline of why the Residents Assn has decided to strongly support the application by RSP to bring the airport back into use and provide quality, well paid jobs and possible training opportunities in the future.

We trust that you will give sympathetic consideration to the application.

Mike Harrison.

Treasure.

Newington Community Association 02.

(1)

(2)



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

## Indices of Deprivation 2010: Ward level summaries for Kent

### Related Documents

- [The Indices of Deprivation 2010 – Headline findings for Kent](#)
- [The Indices of Deprivation 2010 – Detailed findings for Kent](#)
- [The Indices of Deprivation 2010 – District summaries and maps](#)

### Further information

#### Research & Evaluation

Business Strategy & Support

Kent County Council

Invicta House

Maidstone

Kent

ME14 1XX

Email:

[research@kent.gov.uk](mailto:research@kent.gov.uk)

Tel: 01622 221632

This bulletin presents an analysis of the Indices of Deprivation 2010 for wards in Kent. Kent in this bulletin refers to the Kent County Council area which does not include Medway.

### Summary of findings

- Margate Central ward in Thanet District ranks as the most deprived ward within Kent. See table 2.
- Thanet has the greatest number of wards which are ranked within the 20% most deprived in Kent.
- A total of 13 of Thanet's wards, (57% of all Thanet wards) are ranked within the 20% most deprived in Kent.
- Shepway and Thanet are the only 2 local authorities to have no wards which rank within the 20% least deprived wards in Kent.
- Allington ward in Maidstone Borough ranks as the least deprived ward within Kent. See Table 2.
- Sevenoaks has the greatest number of wards which are ranked within the 20% least deprived in Kent. See Table 1 for details
- A total of 15 of Sevenoaks' wards, (58% of all Sevenoaks wards) are ranked within the 20% least deprived in Kent. See Table 1 for details.
- All 12 local authorities have at least one ward which is ranked within the top 20% most deprived wards in Kent.

## Introduction

The English Indices of Deprivation 2010 (ID2010) were released by Communities and Local Government (CLG) on 24 March 2011. The Indices provide an area based measure of deprivation. Each area is assigned a deprivation score and rank so that their level of deprivation can be measured relative to other areas.

The ID2010 measures deprivation for local authority areas and also Lower Super Output Areas (LSOA). The LSOA component of the ID2010 is referred to as the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) and provides the most detailed measure of deprivation. On average there are 3-4 LSOAs within a single electoral ward and therefore LSOAs allow a more detailed pattern of deprivation within a ward to be identified.

A ward level measure of deprivation is not published as part of the official ID2010 as the primary aim of the Indices is to understand the level and nature of deprivation at the small area level and LSOAs were thought to provide a better measure of this. However, there is high demand for a ward level measure and for this reason Kent County Council's (KCC's) Research & Evaluation team (like many other local government research teams) have calculated ward deprivation scores. Further detail on how the ward scores have been calculated is outlined in the Methodology section.

Although ward scores have been produced, Research & Evaluation still recommend that users look at the LSOA deprivation data because pockets of deprivation are likely to be masked at ward level.

Further information on the ID2010, along with headline analysis for LSOAs in Kent, is available in the Research & Evaluation report [‘The Indices of Deprivation 2010 – Detailed findings for Kent’](#). Data for all LSOAs in Kent is available from Research & Evaluation upon request.

## Methodology

No attempt has been made to produce actual IMD scores for wards. Rather, ward level summaries have been created from the LSOA level data, replicating as far as possible the methodology used to produce the official local authority area summaries.

Research & Evaluation have chosen to replicate the measure ‘average of LSOA scores’ at ward level. This measure was chosen because it takes account of the full range of LSOA deprivation scores within a ward, which may not be revealed to the

same extent if one of the other measures was used. This is also KCC's preferred measure when using the local authority summaries.

The 'average of LSOA scores' measure is calculated by first multiplying the IMD scores for each LSOA by the population estimate for that LSOA. These figures are then summed across all LSOAs within the ward and the result is divided by the total population for that ward.

Research & Evaluation have produced 'average of LSOA scores' for all wards within the Kent. The resulting scores have then been ranked. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived ward and a rank of 283 represents the least deprived ward in Kent. National comparisons at ward level are not possible because ward measures have not been calculated for wards outside of Kent.

## County summary of ward level measure

There are 283 electoral wards within Kent. Those ranked in positions 1 to 57 are classed as being within Kent's most deprived 20% of wards and those ranked in positions 227 to 283 are classed as being within Kent's least deprived 20% of wards. Table 1 shows how many of Kent wards are within the county's most and least deprived 20% by local authority area.

**Table 1: Proportion of Kent's least and most deprived wards by local authority**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010 CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council

Local Authority	20% MOST deprived wards in Kent		20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent	
	Number of wards	% of MOST deprived wards	Number of wards	% of LEAST deprived wards
Ashford	5	8.8%	6	10.5%
Canterbury	2	3.5%	2	3.5%
Dartford	4	7.0%	2	3.5%
Dover	6	10.5%	1	1.8%
Gravesham	4	7.0%	3	5.3%
Maidstone	3	5.3%	10	17.5%
Sevenoaks	1	1.8%	15	26.3%
Shepway	8	14.0%	0	0.0%
Swale	9	15.8%	1	1.8%
Thanet	13	22.8%	0	0.0%
Tonbridge & Malling	1	1.8%	12	21.1%
Tunbridge Wells	1	1.8%	5	8.8%
<b>Kent</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>100%</b>

All local authority areas have at least one ward in the county's 20% most deprived. However, there is greater concentration in East Kent authorities. For example just under a quarter of Kent's most deprived 20% of wards are located within Thanet.

Not all Kent local authority areas have wards in the county's 20% least deprived. There are no wards in Shepway or Thanet in the county's least deprived 20%. West Kent authorities tend to have higher concentrations of least deprived wards. For example just over a quarter of Kent's least deprived 20% of wards are located in Sevenoaks.

The difference between the East and West Kent authorities is clear in Table 2 which presents Kent's top ten most deprived and top ten least deprived wards.

**Table 2: Kent's top 10 MOST and top 10 LEAST deprived wards**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council

**Top ten MOST deprived wards in Kent**

Local Authority	Ward Name	Average LSOA Score	Kent Rank (out of 283)	
Thanet	Margate Central	61.80	1	Ranked in order of MOST deprived
Thanet	Cliftonville West	58.79	2	
Shepway	Folkestone Harvey Central	52.39	3	
Swale	Sheerness West	51.60	4	
Swale	Leysdown & Warden	51.25	5	
Swale	Sheerness East	44.43	6	
Thanet	Newington	44.25	7	
Dover	St Radigunds	41.31	8	
Thanet	Eastcliff	40.45	9	
Shepway	Folkestone Harbour	40.08	10	

The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived

**Top ten LEAST deprived wards in Kent**

Local Authority	Ward Name	Average LSOA Score	Kent Rank (out of 283)	
Maidstone	Allington	3.60	283	Ranked in order of LEAST deprived
Sevenoaks	Sevenoaks Town & St John's	4.10	282	
Tonbridge & Malling	Kings Hill	4.34	281	
Maidstone	Bearsted	4.43	280	
Sevenoaks	Sevenoaks Kippington	4.66	279	
Gravesham	Istead Rise	4.71	278	
Tonbridge & Malling	Ightham	4.75	277	
Maidstone	Barming	4.84	276	
Tonbridge & Malling	Blue Bell Hill & Walderslade	5.20	275	
Ashford	Park Farm North	5.26	274	

The lower the score the less deprived. A rank of 283 represents the least deprived

Users can identify the specific wards which are in the most and least deprived 20% from the tables and maps which follow.

### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Ashford**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council


**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Ashford (out of 35)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>Stanhope</b>	<b>38.09</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Aylesford Green</b>	<b>30.39</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>26</b>	
<b>Norman</b>	<b>27.24</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>41</b>	
<b>Victoria</b>	<b>27.04</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>42</b>	
<b>Beaver</b>	<b>26.37</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>45</b>	
Bockhanger	22.49	6	62	
Downs West	20.45	7	82	
Bybrook	18.80	8	97	
South Willesborough	18.03	9	103	
Isle of Oxney	17.32	10	110	
Downs North	16.23	11	116	
Charing	15.23	12	124	
Stour	14.83	13	131	
Weald Central	14.28	14	138	
Weald East	13.70	15	145	
Tenterden South	12.99	16	155	
Weald South	12.81	17	160	
Rolvenden & Tenterden West	12.51	18	167	
Washford	12.42	19	171	
Boughton Aluph & Eastwell	12.17	20	175	
North Willesborough	11.65	21	184	
Singleton South	11.45	22	186	
Biddenden	11.23	23	190	
Godinton	11.07	24	195	
Weald North	10.98	25	197	
Wye	10.89	26	200	
Saxon Shore	10.51	27	206	
Little Burton Farm	9.59	28	223	
St Michaels	9.48	29	225	
<b>Tenterden North</b>	<b>8.97</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>within 20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Great Chart with Singleton North</b>	<b>8.51</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>243</b>	
<b>Park Farm South</b>	<b>7.02</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>259</b>	
<b>Kennington</b>	<b>6.33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>269</b>	
<b>Highfield</b>	<b>5.62</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>273</b>	
<b>Park Farm North</b>	<b>5.26</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>274</b>	



## Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Ashford (based on average of LSOA scores)



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238





### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Canterbury**


Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council

**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Canterbury (out of 24)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>Northgate</b>	<b>31.73</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Heron</b>	<b>28.42</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>35</b>	
Sturry North	21.20	3	74	
Gorrell	20.79	4	76	
Marshside	20.74	5	77	
West Bay	20.54	6	80	
Greenhill & Eddington	20.05	7	85	
Wincheap	19.38	8	92	
Barham Downs	19.31	9	94	
Barton	19.21	10	95	
Harbour	18.77	11	98	
Westgate	17.19	12	111	
Seasalter	15.04	13	126	
Chartham & Stone Street	14.37	14	136	
Little Stour	13.40	15	152	
Chestfield & Swalecliffe	13.37	16	153	
St Stephens	13.25	17	154	
Reculver	12.98	18	156	
Herne & Broomfield	12.84	19	158	
Harbledown	11.22	20	191	
Blean Forest	9.85	21	217	
North Nailbourne	9.84	22	218	
<b>Sturry South</b>	<b>8.67</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>within 20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Tankerton</b>	<b>7.92</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>249</b>	

## Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Canterbury (based on average of LSOA scores)



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238



### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Dartford**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council


**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Dartford (out of 17)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>Littlebrook</b>	<b>28.92</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Joyce Green</b>	<b>28.29</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>38</b>	
<b>Princes</b>	<b>26.28</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>46</b>	
<b>Swanscombe</b>	<b>24.76</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>52</b>	
Town	22.33	5	63	
Stone	21.96	6	69	
Bean & Darenth	19.58	7	90	
Newtown	16.57	8	113	
Sutton-at-Hone & Hawley	14.16	9	140	
Greenhithe	14.03	10	141	
Castle	13.52	11	149	
Brent	11.89	12	179	
Wilmington	11.03	13	196	
West Hill	9.87	14	215	
Joydens Wood	9.50	15	224	
<b>Longfield, New Barn &amp; Southfleet</b>	<b>8.81</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>within 20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Heath</b>	<b>6.32</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>270</b>	



Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Dartford  
(based on average of LSOA scores)



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238



### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Dover**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council


**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Dover (out of 21)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>St Radigunds</b>	<b>41.31</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Tower Hamlets</b>	<b>39.20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	
<b>Castle</b>	<b>36.38</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>16</b>	
<b>Town &amp; Pier</b>	<b>31.15</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>22</b>	
<b>Buckland</b>	<b>30.83</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>25</b>	
<b>Aylesham</b>	<b>26.58</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>44</b>	
Maxton, Elms Vale & Priory	23.00	7	61	
Middle Deal & Sholden	20.13	8	83	
Capel-le-Ferne	19.54	9	91	
North Deal	19.36	10	93	
Mill Hill	19.02	11	96	
Eastry	18.74	12	99	
Eythorne & Shepherdswell	17.96	13	104	
Little Stour & Ashstone	14.86	14	128	
Sandwich	13.87	15	142	
Whitfield	13.46	16	151	
Walmer	12.59	17	166	
St Margaret's-at-Cliffe	12.45	18	169	
Ringwould	11.84	19	180	
Lydden and Temple Ewell	11.81	20	181	
<b>River</b>	<b>8.81</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>within 20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent</b>



**Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Dover  
(based on average of LSOA scores)**



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238





### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Gravesham**


Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council

**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Gravesham (out of 18)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>Riverside</b>	<b>30.96</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Northfleet North</b>	<b>30.94</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>	
<b>Singlewell</b>	<b>29.74</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>29</b>	
<b>Westcourt</b>	<b>27.83</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>39</b>	
Coldharbour	23.95	5	58	
Pelham	23.87	6	59	
Central	21.68	7	71	
Northfleet South	21.48	8	72	
Whitehill	18.68	9	100	
Painters Ash	12.21	10	173	
Woodlands	11.52	11	185	
Meopham South & Vigo	11.10	12	193	
Shorne, Cobham & Luddesdown	11.08	13	194	
Higham	10.43	14	209	
Chalk	9.80	15	219	
<b>Meopham North</b>	<b>7.58</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>within 20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Riverview</b>	<b>6.90</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>262</b>	
<b>Istead Rise</b>	<b>4.71</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>278</b>	

**Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Gravesham  
(based on average of LSOA scores)**



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238



### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Maidstone**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council


**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Maidstone (out of 26)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>Park Wood</b>	<b>37.00</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Shepway South</b>	<b>30.38</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>28</b>	
<b>High Street</b>	<b>28.67</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>34</b>	
Shepway North	22.22	4	65	<b>within 20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent</b>
North Downs	17.61	5	108	
North	16.53	6	114	
Fant	16.47	7	115	
Marden & Yalding	14.64	8	135	
Sutton Valence & Langley	13.86	9	143	
Heath	12.78	10	162	
Bridge	12.70	11	164	
Harrietsham & Lenham	12.34	12	172	
East	11.91	13	178	
Headcorn	11.67	14	183	
Coxheath & Hunton	10.66	15	204	
Boughton Monchelsea & Chart Sutton	10.50	16	207	
<b>South</b>	<b>8.36</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>245</b>	
<b>Downswood &amp; Otham</b>	<b>8.26</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>247</b>	
<b>Loose</b>	<b>7.64</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>253</b>	
<b>Staplehurst</b>	<b>7.55</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>255</b>	
<b>Leeds</b>	<b>7.49</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>256</b>	
<b>Detling &amp; Thurnham</b>	<b>7.20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>258</b>	
<b>Boxley</b>	<b>6.40</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>267</b>	
<b>Barming</b>	<b>4.84</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>276</b>	
<b>Bearsted</b>	<b>4.43</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>280</b>	
<b>Allington</b>	<b>3.60</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>283</b>	



**Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Maidstone  
(based on average of LSOA scores)**



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238



### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Sevenoaks**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council


**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Sevenoaks (out of 26)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>Swanley St Mary's</b>	<b>28.88</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
Swanley White Oak	22.12	2	66	
Edenbridge South & West	15.71	3	120	
Farningham, Horton Kirby & South Darenth	12.86	4	157	
Edenbridge North & East	12.82	5	159	
Cowden & Hever	12.18	6	174	
Crockenhill & Well Hill	12.13	7	176	
Fawkham & West Kingsdown	10.77	8	203	
Penshurst, Fordcombe & Chiddingstone	10.58	9	205	
Eynsford	10.06	10	212	
Ash & New Ash Green	9.67	11	220	
<b>Seal &amp; Weald</b>	<b>9.43</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>within 20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Westerham &amp; Crockham Hill</b>	<b>9.31</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>228</b>	
<b>Halstead, Knockholt &amp; Badgers Mount</b>	<b>9.18</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>232</b>	
<b>Sevenoaks Northern</b>	<b>9.13</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>233</b>	
<b>Leigh &amp; Chiddingstone Causeway</b>	<b>8.75</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>239</b>	
<b>Brasted, Chevening &amp; Sundridge</b>	<b>8.44</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>244</b>	
<b>Swanley Christchurch &amp; Swanley Village</b>	<b>8.34</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>246</b>	
<b>Kemsing</b>	<b>8.06</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>248</b>	
<b>Otford &amp; Shoreham</b>	<b>7.83</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>250</b>	
<b>Hartley &amp; Hodsoll Street</b>	<b>7.81</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>251</b>	
<b>Sevenoaks Eastern</b>	<b>7.39</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>257</b>	
<b>Dunton Green &amp; Riverhead</b>	<b>6.57</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>264</b>	
<b>Hextable</b>	<b>6.42</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>265</b>	
<b>Sevenoaks Kippington</b>	<b>4.66</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>279</b>	
<b>Sevenoaks Town &amp; St John's</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>282</b>	



## Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Sevenoaks (based on average of LSOA scores)



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238





### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Shepway**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council


**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Shepway (out of 22)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>Folkestone Harvey Central</b>	<b>52.39</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Folkestone Harbour</b>	<b>40.08</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	
<b>Folkestone East</b>	<b>39.32</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	
<b>Folkestone Foord</b>	<b>34.50</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>17</b>	
<b>Romney Marsh</b>	<b>27.34</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>40</b>	
<b>Lydd</b>	<b>26.02</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>48</b>	
<b>Dymchurch &amp; St Mary's Bay</b>	<b>24.87</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>51</b>	
<b>Folkestone Harvey West</b>	<b>23.97</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>57</b>	
Hythe West	22.08	9	67	
New Romney Town	20.56	10	79	
Folkestone Park	20.09	11	84	
Folkestone Cheriton	20.00	12	86	
New Romney Coast	17.94	13	105	
Hythe Central	17.92	14	106	
Folkestone Sandgate	17.08	15	112	
Tolsford	15.24	16	123	
Folkestone Morehall	14.84	17	129	
North Downs East	14.83	18	130	
Hythe East	14.69	19	133	
Lympne & Stanford	13.61	20	147	
North Downs West	12.50	21	168	
Elham & Stelling Minnis	12.43	22	170	

**There are no Shepway wards within the 20% least deprived wards in Kent**

## Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Shepway (based on average of LSOA scores)



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238



### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Swale**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council


**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Swale (out of 25)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>Sheerness West</b>	<b>51.60</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Leysdown and Warden</b>	<b>51.25</b>	<b>2</b>		
<b>Sheerness East</b>	<b>44.43</b>	<b>3</b>		
<b>Murston</b>	<b>32.02</b>	<b>4</b>		
<b>Davington Priory</b>	<b>30.39</b>	<b>5</b>		
<b>Milton Regis</b>	<b>29.69</b>	<b>6</b>		
<b>Sheppey Central</b>	<b>28.40</b>	<b>7</b>		
<b>Queenborough and Halfway</b>	<b>26.66</b>	<b>8</b>		
<b>Roman</b>	<b>25.59</b>	<b>9</b>		
Teynham & Lynsted	22.33	10		
Abbey	22.00	11		
Chalkwell	21.75	12		
Minster Cliffs	20.73	13		
Watling	19.62	14		
Kemsley	18.63	15		
East Downs	18.51	16		
Grove	17.39	17		
St Ann's	16.04	18		
Boughton & Courtenay	15.94	19		
St Michaels	15.52	20		
Borden	13.59	21		
Hartlip, Newington & Upchurch	12.79	22		
West Downs	10.94	23		
Iwade & Lower Halstow	10.31	24		
<b>Woodstock</b>	<b>7.67</b>	<b>25</b>		<b>within 20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent</b>



## Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Swale (based on average of LSOA scores)



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238



### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Thanet**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council


**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Thanet (out of 23)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
Margate Central	61.80	1	1	within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent
Cliftonville West	58.79	2	2	
Newington	44.25	3	7	
Eastcliff	40.45	4	9	
Dane Valley	39.87	5	11	
Northwood	33.83	6	18	
Central Harbour	32.41	7	19	
Westgate-on-Sea	28.86	8	33	
Salmestone	28.41	9	36	
Sir Moses Montefiore	26.23	10	47	
Beacon Road	24.18	11	53	
Garlinge	24.11	12	54	
Westbrook	24.04	13	56	
Birchington South	21.17	14	75	
Nethercourt	19.73	15	87	
Thanet Villages	17.87	16	107	
Cliftonville East	15.97	17	118	
Bradstowe	14.82	18	132	
St Peters	14.69	19	134	
Viking	14.36	20	137	
Cliffsend & Pegwell	13.48	21	150	
Birchington North	12.10	22	177	
Kingsgate	10.95	23	198	

**There are no Thanet wards within the 20% least deprived wards in Kent**

## Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Thanet (based on average of LSOA scores)



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238





### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Tonbridge & Malling**


Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council

**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Tonbridge & Malling (out of 26)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>Trench</b>	<b>24.06</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
Snodland East	23.29	2	60	
Wrotham	21.25	3	73	
East Malling	20.47	4	81	
Burham, Eccles & Wouldham	15.29	5	122	
Aylesford	13.66	6	146	
Medway	12.60	7	165	
Snodland West	11.67	8	182	
Downs	11.26	9	188	
Hadlow, Mereworth & West Peckham	11.15	10	192	
Larkfield South	10.80	11	202	
Judd	10.18	12	211	
Ditton	9.92	13	213	
Vauxhall	9.62	14	222	
<b>Cage Green</b>	<b>9.20</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>230</b>	
<b>Larkfield North</b>	<b>9.13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>234</b>	
<b>East Peckham &amp; Golden Green</b>	<b>8.95</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>236</b>	
<b>West Malling &amp; Leybourne</b>	<b>8.53</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>242</b>	
<b>Borough Green &amp; Long Mill</b>	<b>7.00</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>260</b>	
<b>Castle</b>	<b>6.98</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>261</b>	
<b>Higham</b>	<b>6.70</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>within 20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Hildenborough</b>	<b>6.39</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>268</b>	
<b>Watlingbury</b>	<b>5.89</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>272</b>	
<b>Blue Bell Hill &amp; Walderslade</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>275</b>	
<b>Ightham</b>	<b>4.75</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>277</b>	
<b>Kings Hill</b>	<b>4.34</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>281</b>	

## Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Tonbridge & Malling (based on average of LSOA scores)



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238



### **Average of LSOA Overall IMD2010 Scores for Wards in Tunbridge Wells**

Based on the Indices of Deprivation 2010, CLG: calculated by Research & Evaluation, Kent County Council


**Table ranked by Average LSOA score.**

**The higher the score the more deprived. A rank of 1 represents the most deprived**

Ward Name	Average IMD Score	Rank of average score within Tunbridge Wells (out of 20)	Rank of average score within Kent (out of 283)	
<b>Sherwood</b>	<b>25.22</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>within 20% MOST deprived wards in Kent</b>
Broadwater	19.59	2	89	
Southborough & High Brooms	15.23	3	125	
Rusthall	14.94	4	127	
Goudhurst & Lamberhurst	14.24	5	139	
Benenden & Cranbrook	13.76	6	144	
St James'	12.76	7	163	
Southborough North	11.39	8	187	
Paddock Wood West	11.25	9	189	
Hawkhurst & Sandhurst	10.88	10	201	
Frittenden & Sissinghurst	10.47	11	208	
Culverden	9.87	12	214	
Brenchley & Horsmonden	9.86	13	216	
Capel	9.64	14	221	
Paddock Wood East	9.47	15	226	
<b>Park</b>	<b>9.30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>within 20% LEAST deprived wards in Kent</b>
<b>Pembury</b>	<b>9.20</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>231</b>	
<b>St John's</b>	<b>8.68</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>240</b>	
<b>Speldhurst &amp; Bidborough</b>	<b>6.42</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>266</b>	
<b>Pantiles and St Mark's</b>	<b>6.03</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>271</b>	



## Overall IMD 2010 for electoral wards in Tunbridge Wells (based on average of LSOA scores)



Average IMD2010 scores for Kent wards produced by Research & Evaluation, Business Strategy & Support, Kent County Council  
Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010, Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019238



## The English Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD 2015): Headline findings for Kent

### Related information

The [Deprivation and Poverty](#) web page contains more information which you may find useful.

- Fuel poverty
- Households in poverty
- Children in Poverty
- Homelessness
- Unemployment and benefits claimants

**NOTE:** within this bulletin 'Kent' refers to the Kent County Council (KCC) area which excludes Medway

### Contact details

#### Strategic Business Development & Intelligence:

Kent County Council  
Sessions House  
Maidstone  
Kent ME14 1XQ

Email: [research@kent.gov.uk](mailto:research@kent.gov.uk)

Tel: 03000 417444

The English Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015 (IMD2015) was released 30 September 2015 by The Department for Communities and Local Government. This bulletin presents the initial findings for Kent.

### Summary

- On the National rank of the IMD2015 Kent is ranked at 100<sup>th</sup> out of 152 Counties and Unitary Authorities in England. This places Kent within the least deprived 50% of all counties and unitary authorities in England.
- Within the 19 Counties and Local Authorities in the South East, Kent is ranked at 9. This places Kent just within the most deprived 50% of all Counties and Unitary Authorities in the South East.
- The level of deprivation in eight out of 12 Kent local authority districts has increased since ID2010 relative to other areas in England.
- Thanet continues to rank as the most deprived local authority in Kent.
- Tunbridge Wells ranks as the least deprived local authority in Kent
- Ashford and Swale have experienced the largest increase in deprivation relative to other areas.
- Tunbridge Wells has experienced the largest decrease in deprivation relative to other areas.

---

## Introduction

The Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015 (IMD 2015) is the official measure of relative deprivation for small areas (or neighbourhoods) in England.

The IMD ranks every small area in England from 1 (most deprived area) to 32,844 (least deprived area).

The small areas used are called Lower-layer Super Output Areas, of which there are 32,844 in England. They are designed to be of a similar population size with an average of 1,500 residents each and are a standard way of dividing up the country. They do not have descriptive place names (in the way that local wards do), but are named in a format beginning with the name of the local authority district followed by a 4 character code eg Ashford 001A.

It is common to describe how relatively deprived a small area is by saying whether it falls among the most deprived 10 per cent, 20 per cent or 30 per cent of small areas in England (although there is no definitive cut-off at which an area is described as 'deprived').

To help with this, deprivation 'deciles' are published alongside ranks. Deciles are calculated by ranking the 32,844 small areas in England from most deprived to least deprived and dividing them into 10 equal groups. These range from the most deprived 10 per cent of small areas nationally to the least deprived 10 per cent of small areas nationally.

The Index of Multiple Deprivation is part of the Indices of Deprivation and it is the most widely used of these indices. It combines information from seven domain indices (which measure different types or dimensions of deprivation) to produce an overall relative measure of deprivation. You can use the domain indices on their own to focus on specific aspects of deprivation. There are also supplementary indices concerned with income deprivation among children (IDACI) and older people (IDAOPI).

The Index of Multiple Deprivation is designed primarily to be a *small-area* measure of deprivation. But the Indices are commonly used to describe deprivation for higher-level geographies including local authority districts. A range of summary measures are available allowing you to see where, for example, a local authority district is ranked between 1 (the most deprived district in England) and 326 (the least deprived district in England). Summary measures are also available for upper tier local authorities, local enterprise partnerships and clinical commissioning groups.

All of the Indices of Deprivation measure relative deprivation at small area level as accurately as possible, but they are not designed to provide 'backwards' comparability with previous versions of the Indices (2010, 2007, 2004 and 2000). However, because there is a broadly consistent methodology between the Indices of Deprivation 2015 and previous versions, you can compare the rankings as determined at the relevant time point by each of the versions.



When looking at changes in deprivation between the Indices of Deprivation 2015 and previous versions, users should therefore be aware that changes can only be described in relative terms, for example, the extent to which an area has changed rank or decile of deprivation.

This bulletin presents the IMD 2015 for Kent, Kent local authorities and the 10% most deprived LSOAs in Kent. A comparison with the IMD2010 (and IMD2007 at County level) is also presented.

## County Level

The overall IMD2015 ranks Kent at 100 out of 152 local authorities in England. This places Kent within the least 50% deprived local authorities in England.

This position is two places higher than the IMD2010 and six places higher than the IMD2007 which indicates that Kent has become more deprived in 2015 relative to all other areas.

Kent's position amongst the local authorities within the South East region is nine out of 19. This position has not changed between the IMD2007 and IMD2010. This places Kent just within the 50% most deprived areas in the region.

**Table 1: South East Counties and Unitary Authorities by national and regional ranks: IMD2007, IMD2010, IMD2015**

### South East Counties and Unitary Authorities by national and regional ranks: IMD2007, IMD2010, and IMD2015

Source: Indices of Deprivation 2007; 2010; and 2015 Communities and Local Government

Table presented by Strategic Business Development & Intelligence, Kent county Council

A rank of 1 is the most deprived

Authority	IMD2007		IMD2010		IMD2015		Change in rank* 2010 to 2015	
	National rank (out of 152)	South East rank (out of 19)	National rank (out of 152)	South East rank (out of 19)	National rank (out of 152)	South East rank (out of 19)	National position	South East position
Portsmouth U.A.	67	3	60	2	50	1	10	1
Southampton U.A.	66	2	65	3	54	2	11	1
Brighton and Hove U.A.	59	1	53	1	74	3	-21	-2
Isle of Wight U.A.	88	5	86	5	76	4	10	1
Slough U.A.	79	4	69	4	78	5	-9	-1
Medway U.A.	92	6	88	7	81	6	7	1
Reading U.A.	94	7	87	6	93	7	-6	-1
East Sussex	95	8	90	8	99	8	-9	0
<b>Kent</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
Milton Keynes	118	10	119	10	106	10	13	0
West Sussex	132	11	130	11	131	11	-1	0
Hampshire	141	13	141	13	141	12	0	1
Oxfordshire	139	12	135	12	142	13	-7	-1
Bracknell Forest U.A.	147	15	148	16	145	14	3	2
West Berkshire U.A.	149	17	147	15	146	15	1	0
Buckinghamshire	146	14	145	14	148	16	-3	-2
Surrey	150	18	150	18	150	17	0	1
Windsor & Maidenhead U.A.	148	16	149	17	151	18	-2	-1
Wokingham U.A.	152	19	152	19	152	19	0	0

Table sorted by ID2015 lowest rank

\* A minus change in rank illustrates that an area has moved down the rankings and is therefore less deprived in ID2015 than ID2010 relative to other areas

\* A positive change in rank illustrates an area is more deprived in ID2015 than ID2010 relative to other areas

## Local Authority Level

Thanet was the most deprived local authority in the IMD2010 and remains Kent's most deprived local authority district in IMD2015. Nationally, Thanet is ranked at 21 out of 326 authorities placing it within England's 10% most deprived of authorities.

Kent's least deprived local authority district in the IMD2015 is Tunbridge Wells with a rank of 282 out of 326 authorities. This rank places Tunbridge Wells within the least 20% deprived areas in England.

Deprivation levels have increased in eight out of the 12 local authority districts relative to all other areas between IMD2010 and IMD2015.

Ashford and Swale have seen the greatest change in national rank, both moving up 22 places between 2010 and 2015. This indicates that these areas are more deprived in 2015 than in 2010 relative to all other local authorities in England.

Canterbury, Shepway, Tonbridge & Malling and Tunbridge Wells have all moved down in the rankings which indicates that levels of deprivation have reduced between 2010 and 2015 relative to other local authorities in England.

**Table 2: Kent Local Authorities by national and Kent ranks: IMD2010, IMD2015**

**Kent local authorities by national and Kent ranks: IMD2010 and IMD2015**

Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010 and 2015, Communities and Local Government

Table presented by Strategic Business Development & Intelligence, Kent county Council

A rank of 1 is the most deprived

Authority	IMD2010			IMD2015			Change in rank* 2010 to 2015	
	IMD2010 national rank (out of 326)	Kent Rank (out of 12)		IMD2015 national rank (out of 326)	Kent Rank (out of 12)		National position	Kent position
Thanet	49	1		28	1		21	0
Swale	99	3		77	2		22	1
Shepway	97	2		113	3		-16	-1
Gravesham	142	5		124	4		18	1
Dover	127	4		126	5		1	-1
Dartford	175	7		170	6		5	1
Ashford	198	8		176	7		22	1
Canterbury	166	6		183	8		-17	-2
Maidstone	217	9		198	9		19	0
Sevenoaks	276	12		268	10		8	2
Tonbridge & Malling	268	11		274	11		-6	0
Tunbridge Wells	249	10		282	12		-33	-2

Table ranked by highest IMD 2015 Score

\* A minus change in rank illustrates that a district has moved down the rankings and is therefore now less deprived relative to other areas in England.

\*A positive change in rank illustrates an area is more deprived in ID2015 than ID2010 relative to other areas

## Deprivation at small area level in Kent's Lower Super Output Areas

Kent has 902 Lower Super Output Areas, 51 (6%) fall within the top 10% most deprived LSOAs in England in the IMD2015. In the IMD2010 the number of LSOAs within the most deprived 10% nationally was 32 (4%).

These LSOAs are spread within seven of Kent's local authorities with Thanet having the highest number and proportion of LSOA within the top10% most deprived LSOAs in England.

Ashford, Canterbury, Sevenoaks, Tonbridge & Malling and Tunbridge Wells do not have any LSOAs ranked within the top 10% most deprived in England.

**Table 3: The number and proportion of LSOAs in Kent Authorities within the 10% most deprived Lower Super Output Areas in England**

### IMD2015 Number and proportion of LSOAs in Kent authorities within the top 10% most deprived in England

Source: Indices of Deprivation 2010 and 2015, Communities and Local Government

Table presented by Strategic Business Development & Intelligence, Kent county Council

Authority	Total LSOAs in each Local Authority	Top 10% most deprived National Rank:IMD 2010		Top 10% most deprived National Rank:IMD 2015		Change Number of LSOAs
		Number of LSOAs	%	Number of LSOAs	%	
Thanet	84	14	16%	18	20%	4
Swale	85	8	9%	14	16%	6
Gravesham	64	3	3%	6	7%	3
Dover	67	1	1%	4	4%	3
Shepway	67	5	6%	4	4%	-1
Dartford	58	0	0%	3	3%	3
Maidstone	95	1	1%	2	2%	1
Canterbury	90	0	0%	0	0%	0
Ashford	78	0	0%	0	0%	0
Sevenoaks	74	0	0%	0	0%	0
Tonbridge & Malling	72	0	0%	0	0%	0
Tunbridge Wells	68	0	0%	0	0%	0
<b>Kent</b>	<b>902</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>19</b>

Table ranked by highest number of LSOAs in top 10% most deprived by IMD 2015 Score

The highest ranking LSOA in Kent is in Thanet District, within Cliftonville West ward. This LSOA is ranked 4<sup>th</sup> out of 32,844 LSOAs in England placing it within England's most deprived 1% of small areas.



The lowest ranking LSOA in Kent is in Tunbridge Wells Borough, within Speldhurst & Bidborough ward. This LSOA is ranked 32,728<sup>th</sup> out of 32,844 LSOAs in England placing it within England's most deprived 1% of small areas.

Map 1 illustrates the pattern of deprivation across Kent at LSOA level. The map shows there is an east/west divide, with the east of the county having higher levels of deprivation than the west.

IMD 2015: Overall IMD  
National rank of Kent Lower Super Output Areas

Overall IMD - England Position

National Rank



This map produced by Strategic Business Development & Intelligence, Kent County Council  
© Crown Copyright and database right 2015, Ordnance Survey 100019238





A ward level measure of deprivation is not published as part of the official IMD2015. However, there is high demand for a ward level measure and we will issue ward level ranks based on averages of LSOA scores at a later date. Table 4 indicates the wards in which the top 10% most deprived LSOAs in Kent are situated. This table also shows the national rank and South East rank.

**Table 4: The 10% most deprived Lower Super Output Areas in Kent**

**The 10% most deprived Lower Super Output Areas in Kent: (Rank 1 to 45 out of 90)**

Source: Indices of Deprivation 2015, Communities and Local Government

A rank of 1 is the most deprived

Table presented by Strategic Business Development & Intelligence, Kent county Council

2011 LSOA Name	2011 Ward Name	National rank		South East rank		Kent Rank	
		position out of 32,844 LSOAs	Within top 10% most deprived	position out of 5,382 LSOAs	Within top 10% most deprived	Position out of 902 LSOAs	Within top 10% most deprived
Thanet 001A	Cliftonville West	4	Yes	1	Yes	1	Yes
Thanet 001E	Margate Central	21	Yes	2	Yes	2	Yes
Thanet 003A	Margate Central	35	Yes	3	Yes	3	Yes
Swale 001A	Sheerness East	46	Yes	4	Yes	4	Yes
Thanet 001D	Cliftonville West	117	Yes	7	Yes	5	Yes
Thanet 001B	Cliftonville West	233	Yes	10	Yes	6	Yes
Swale 010C	Murston	329	Yes	14	Yes	7	Yes
Swale 006A	Leysdown and Warden	366	Yes	18	Yes	8	Yes
Thanet 016D	Eastcliff	423	Yes	22	Yes	9	Yes
Thanet 006D	Dane Valley	452	Yes	24	Yes	10	Yes
Thanet 013B	Newington	486	Yes	26	Yes	11	Yes
Shepway 014A	Folkestone Harbour	572	Yes	29	Yes	12	Yes
Swale 002C	Sheerness West	626	Yes	31	Yes	13	Yes
Swale 002A	Sheerness West	674	Yes	32	Yes	14	Yes
Thanet 003E	Westbrook	692	Yes	33	Yes	15	Yes
Swale 002B	Sheerness West	739	Yes	36	Yes	16	Yes
Thanet 013E	Northwood	968	Yes	42	Yes	17	Yes
Swale 006D	Sheppey Central	1013	Yes	44	Yes	18	Yes
Swale 004E	Sheppey Central	1036	Yes	46	Yes	19	Yes
Swale 005C	Queenborough and Halfway	1053	Yes	48	Yes	20	Yes
Thanet 006E	Dane Valley	1065	Yes	52	Yes	21	Yes
Thanet 004A	Cliftonville West	1171	Yes	54	Yes	22	Yes
Shepway 014B	Folkestone Harvey Central	1343	Yes	63	Yes	23	Yes
Dover 011F	St Radigunds	1358	Yes	64	Yes	24	Yes
Swale 015D	Davington Priory	1649	Yes	74	Yes	25	Yes
Shepway 003C	Folkestone East	1751	Yes	76	Yes	26	Yes
Gravesham 011D	Singlewell	1876	Yes	81	Yes	27	Yes
Gravesham 001C	Northfleet North	1877	Yes	82	Yes	28	Yes
Dartford 001A	Joyce Green	1951	Yes	85	Yes	29	Yes
Maidstone 013A	Park Wood	1979	Yes	86	Yes	30	Yes
Gravesham 002E	Riverside	2017	Yes	89	Yes	31	Yes
Dover 012F	Castle	2065	Yes	94	Yes	32	Yes
Swale 006B	Leysdown and Warden	2109	Yes	97	Yes	33	Yes
Thanet 003D	Salmestone	2224	Yes	102	Yes	34	Yes
Swale 001B	Sheerness East	2240	Yes	104	Yes	35	Yes
Thanet 016E	Eastcliff	2319	Yes	107	Yes	36	Yes
Dover 013B	Maxton, Elms Vale and Priory	2330	Yes	108	Yes	37	Yes
Gravesham 011C	Singlewell	2533	Yes	118	Yes	38	Yes
Swale 001C	Sheerness East	2564	Yes	121	Yes	39	Yes
Thanet 013A	Newington	2633	Yes	123	Yes	40	Yes
Gravesham 007A	Westcourt	2730	Yes	128	Yes	41	Yes
Thanet 001C	Cliftonville West	2739	Yes	129	Yes	42	Yes
Thanet 016C	Central Harbour	2751	Yes	130	Yes	43	Yes
Thanet 015D	Eastcliff	2850	Yes	134	Yes	44	Yes
Maidstone 013B	Park Wood	2857	Yes	137	Yes	45	Yes

**Table 4 continued: The 10% most deprived Lower Super Output Areas in Kent**

**The 10% most deprived Lower Super Output Areas in Kent: (Rank 46 to 90 out of 90)**

Source: Indices of Deprivation 2015, Communities and Local Government

A rank of 1 is the most deprived

Table presented by Strategic Business Development & Intelligence, Kent county Council

2011 LSOA Name	2011 Ward Name	National rank		South East rank		Kent Rank	
		position out of 32,844 LSOAs	Within top 10% most deprived	position out of 5,382 LSOAs	Within top 10% most deprived	Position out of 902 LSOAs	Within top 10% most deprived
Swale 001D	Sheerness East	2887	Yes	140	Yes	46	Yes
Dartford 004C	Swanscombe	3010	Yes	147	Yes	47	Yes
Dover 011D	Buckland	3071	Yes	151	Yes	48	Yes
Shepway 014D	Folkestone Harvey Central	3125	Yes	154	Yes	49	Yes
Dartford 001D	Littlebrook	3199	Yes	156	Yes	50	Yes
Gravesham 002A	Central	3222	Yes	158	Yes	51	Yes
Ashford 008C	Stanhope	3285	No	163	Yes	52	Yes
Shepway 014C	Folkestone Harvey Central	3296	No	164	Yes	53	Yes
Ashford 008B	Stanhope	3315	No	165	Yes	54	Yes
Thanet 005A	Garlinge	3332	No	167	Yes	55	Yes
Swale 002D	Sheerness West	3474	No	174	Yes	56	Yes
Swale 010B	Milton Regis	3609	No	183	Yes	57	Yes
Dover 012D	Tower Hamlets	3627	No	185	Yes	58	Yes
Thanet 006C	Dane Valley	3643	No	188	Yes	59	Yes
Canterbury 019A	Wincheap	3751	No	195	Yes	60	Yes
Maidstone 013D	Shepway South	3768	No	198	Yes	61	Yes
Thanet 012C	Sir Moses Montefiore	3779	No	199	Yes	62	Yes
Canterbury 007B	Gorrell	3814	No	202	Yes	63	Yes
Sevenoaks 002A	Swanley St Mary's	3820	No	203	Yes	64	Yes
Thanet 003B	Margate Central	3834	No	204	Yes	65	Yes
Thanet 004B	Dane Valley	3884	No	208	Yes	66	Yes
Maidstone 013E	Shepway South	3928	No	212	Yes	67	Yes
Shepway 004E	Folkestone Harbour	3953	No	214	Yes	68	Yes
Canterbury 001B	Heron	3968	No	215	Yes	69	Yes
Dover 013A	Maxton, Elms Vale and Priory	4019	No	218	Yes	70	Yes
Dover 013D	Tower Hamlets	4137	No	225	Yes	71	Yes
Dover 011A	Buckland	4155	No	226	Yes	72	Yes
Sevenoaks 002B	Swanley St Mary's	4324	No	234	Yes	73	Yes
Dover 013E	Town and Pier	4397	No	241	Yes	74	Yes
Dartford 009A	Princes	4464	No	245	Yes	75	Yes
Canterbury 001C	Heron	4469	No	246	Yes	76	Yes
Maidstone 009C	High Street	4490	No	249	Yes	77	Yes
Gravesham 002F	Pelham	4555	No	253	Yes	78	Yes
Canterbury 009D	Seasalter	4715	No	263	Yes	79	Yes
Canterbury 001A	Heron	4726	No	266	Yes	80	Yes
Dover 011H	Tower Hamlets	4848	No	271	Yes	81	Yes
Canterbury 011A	Northgate	4869	No	273	Yes	82	Yes
Shepway 003A	Folkestone East	4936	No	279	Yes	83	Yes
Thanet 016A	Central Harbour	5057	No	288	Yes	84	Yes
Ashford 007F	Victoria	5083	No	290	Yes	85	Yes
Shepway 004B	Folkestone Foord	5084	No	291	Yes	86	Yes
Ashford 005A	Aylesford Green	5117	No	294	Yes	87	Yes
Dover 006C	Aylesham	5134	No	296	Yes	88	Yes
Swale 014F	Watling	5242	No	301	Yes	89	Yes
Swale 003A	Minster Cliffs	5251	No	302	Yes	90	Yes

Further information about the English Indices of Deprivation can be found from the [Department for Communities and Local Government website](https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/english-indices-of-deprivation-2015)